

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/871,061	05/31/2001	Kouichi Matsumura	MTS-3262US	8967
7	590 12/21/2004		EXAMINER	
RATNER AND PRESTIA Suite 301			LU, KUEN S	
One Westlakes, Berwyn			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980			2167	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Advisory Action	09/871,061	MATSUMURA ET AL	4			
·	Examiner	Art Unit	1			
	Kuen S Lu	2167				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		\$			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 12/13/2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	i			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			•			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			1. 1.			
Claim(s) allowed:			2			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
			; 5			
			į			

Application/Control Number: 09/871,061

Art Unit: 2167

Application No. 09/871061.

Page 2

1. This is a continuation of PTO-303.

2. Regarding Applicant's proposed amendments filed on September 10, 2004, the Applicant can not amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously cancelled claims. (Please see MPEP ξ 714.13). In this case, the limitation "wherein the assigned arbitrary channel number is related only to the keyword and not related to a broadcast channel number" is

added to the rejected independent claims 16 and 19.

3. Regarding Applicant's Remarks, the Applicant's arguments have been considered

carefully but are moot because the arguments are based on the limitation "wherein the

assigned arbitrary channel number is related only to the keyword and not related to a

broadcast channel number" which is newly introduced in the amendments, filed on

September 10, 2004, but not entered. Therefore, the rejections is maintained as set

forth on the Final Action 6/10/2004.

Kuen S. Lu

December 16, 2004

Primary Examiner

December 16, 2004